



## Non-tile Drainage System Subsurface Water Flow from Agricultural Lands

This EnviroAtlas national map provides modeled estimates of subsurface lateral flow at the outer edges of non-tile drained agricultural fields within each 12-digit hydrologic unit (HUC) in millimeters (mm) of water for 2002. Subsurface flow is water that has infiltrated into the ground, but is flowing laterally rather than percolating downward to the water table. This map only includes flow from fields without tile drainage. Tile drainage is used in agriculture when a field is too wet to grow plants; pipes are placed under the soil to drain away excess water. This water often flows into streams and rivers.

### Why is non-tile drainage subsurface water flow from agricultural lands important?

Agriculture can affect the quantity and quality of water in streams and waterbodies. Subsurface flow from fields can carry pollutants to streams and groundwater and change the hydrology of watersheds. It can also flow back to the surface and contribute to surface runoff. Subsurface lateral flow can occur when there is a barrier preventing the water from percolating deeper into the soil.<sup>1</sup> Where there are macropores (spaces in the soil such as those created by roots or animals), subsurface water can travel rapidly.<sup>1,2</sup> Subsurface flow can be a major source of water flow to streams during storms, especially in humid regions where surface runoff is less prevalent.<sup>3</sup> The amount of subsurface flow can be affected by geology, topography, soil type and condition, amount of rainfall, humidity, and topography.<sup>2,4</sup>

Subsurface flow can transport nutrients and other pollutants to streams and water bodies. Nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) are both nutrients that are critical to the existence of life on earth, but excess nutrients in fresh and near-coastal waters can result in algal blooms. Algal blooms can interfere with fishing and recreation and make drinking water difficult to treat; they can produce toxins that can make people sick and cause fish kills. The decay of particularly large blooms can reduce oxygen levels (a condition known as [hypoxia](#)) in offshore waters to a point that is too low for many aquatic species to survive, creating “dead zones.” Other pollutants like metals, pesticides, and pathogens can also be carried to streams in runoff.



USDA, M.L. Olive, NC

### How can I use this information?

This map can be used to identify potential sources of water pollution and to understand hydrologic changes associated with agriculture. It can be viewed with layers describing water demand to suggest where subsurface flow might pose a risk to water supply. While the model output is based on 2001/2002 data that may not represent current conditions, the information about the movement of water at the edge of agricultural fields can be used as a baseline to compare with current and future projections.

### How were the data for this map created?

These data were created using the [Fertilizer Emissions Scenario Tool for CMAQ \(FEST-C\)](#). FEST-C combines Meteorology data for 2002 produced by the [Weather Research Forecast model](#) v3.4 and wet and dry atmospheric deposition to agricultural soils estimated by bidirectional CMAQ5.2<sup>4</sup> with field-level biogeochemistry and edge-of-field water movement simulated by the [Environmental Policy Integrated Climate \(EPIC\) model](#). Simulations were performed for more than 100,000 rectangular grid cells (12km on a side) that form a continuous modeling layer across the conterminous U.S. These EPIC simulations are representative of regional, rather than local-scale conditions and assume conservation tillage on representative soils for specific crops at the HUC-8 (subbasin) scale. Irrigated and rain fed management simulations were performed for each of 22 major commercial crops. The results were then aggregated across all agricultural land in a simulation grid cell.<sup>6</sup> In order to pair land use with the

meteorological and emission scenarios, agricultural area in each grid cell was estimated using National Land Cover Database (NLCD) 2001 and US Department of Agriculture (USDA) 2002 Census of Agriculture county-level data. The gridded data are summarized by 12-digit HUC. For detailed information on how this data was generated, see the [metadata](#).

### What are the limitations of these data?

EnviroAtlas uses the best data available, but there are still limitations associated with these data. These data layers contain substantial uncertainties; they are based on models and large national geospatial databases. This map reflects assumptions about soil, weather, crop variety, and crop-specific management conditions in each 12-digit hydrologic unit (HUC). Given that 2001 and 2002 deposition, land use, and management practices data were used in the model, the data layer may not be representative of current conditions. Early simulation design and performance evaluation for 2002 yield, fertilizer use and predicted plant and harvest dates are reported in Cooter et al.<sup>6</sup> These simulations represent nutrient applications that roughly follow regional nutrient management practices, on the most prevalent agricultural soils as identified in the [National Resources Inventory](#) at the HUC-8 level. The use of average grid cell slope could result in the over-estimation of horizontal water and nutrient losses by the model for some crop/soil combinations, particularly for tile drainage systems. Regional-scale studies of edge-of-field N and P losses are not generally available. Comparison of some of these 2002 EPIC nutrient export results for the Upper Mississippi River Basin (UMRB), which lies within the larger

Mississippi-Atchafalaya River Basin, to other published modeling studies are presented in Cooter et al.<sup>7</sup> Further comparison of model estimates of crop yield, fertilizer application amounts and timing, crop planting and harvest dates, and irrigation water use agree with [USDA](#) and US Geological Survey ([USGS](#)) estimates that rely heavily on site-specific survey information representing long-term average conditions in terms of overall spatial pattern and magnitude.<sup>8,9</sup>

### How can I access these data?

EnviroAtlas data can be viewed in the interactive map, accessed through web services, or downloaded. The NLCD 2001 can be downloaded from the [MRLC](#) and the Census of Agriculture can be downloaded from the USDA's [website](#).

### Where can I get more information?

A selection of publications related to subsurface flow and dissolved nutrients is listed below. To ask specific questions about this data layer, please contact the [EnviroAtlas Team](#).

### Acknowledgments

The data for this map were generated by Ellen Cooter (FEST-C) and Jesse Bash (CMAQ), Computational Exposure Division, US EPA, Limei Ran, Dongmei Yang, UNC Institute of the Environment and Verel Benson, Benson Consulting (FEST-C). Ellen Cooter, Computational Exposure Division (CED), Atmospheric Model Analysis and Application Branch, US EPA created this fact sheet.

### Selected Publications

1. Buttle, J.M., and D.J. McDonald. 2002. [Coupled vertical and lateral preferential flow on a forested slope](#). *Water Resources Research* 38(5):18-1-18-16.
2. Graham, C.B., R.A. Woods, and J.J. McDonnell. 2010. [Hillslope threshold response to rainfall: \(1\) A field-based forensic approach](#). *Journal of Hydrology* 393:65-76.
3. U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). 2010. [Time of concentration](#). Chapter 15, Part 630 Hydrology National Engineering Handbook. U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington, D.C. 29p.
4. Price, K. 2011. [Effects of watershed topography, soils, land use, and climate on baseflow hydrology in humid regions: A review](#). *Progress in Physical Geography* 35:465-492.
5. Appel, K.W., K.M. Foley, J.O. Bash, R.W. Pinder, R.L. Dennis, D.J. Allen, and K. Pickering. 2011. [A multi-resolution assessment of the Community Multiscale Air Quality \(CMAQ\) model v4.7 wet deposition estimates for 2002-2006](#). *Geoscientific Model Development* 4:357-371.
6. Cooter, E., J. Bash, V. Benson, and L. Ran. 2012. [Linking agricultural crop management and air quality models for regional to national-scale nitrogen assessments](#). *Biogeosciences* 9:4023-4035.
7. Cooter, E.J., L. Ran, D. Yuan, and V. Benson. 2017. [Exploring a United States maize cellulose biofuel scenario using an integrated energy and agricultural markets solution approach](#). *Annals of Agricultural and Crop Sciences* 2(2):1031.
8. Brakebill, J.W., and J.M. Gronberg. 2017. [County-level estimates of nitrogen and phosphorus from commercial fertilizer for the conterminous United States, 1987-2012](#): U.S. Geological Survey data release.
9. Yuan, Y., R. Wang, E. Cooter, L. Ran, P. Daggupati, D. Yang, R. Srinivasan, and A. Jalowska. 2018. [Integrating multimedia models to assess nitrogen losses from the Mississippi basin to the Gulf of Mexico](#). *Biogeosciences* 15:7059-7076.